

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,806	10/06/2003	Wen-Feng Liu	P26,257-C USA	3311
23307 759	0 03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP		ASINOVSKY, OLGA		
2600 ARAMARK TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1101 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950		1711		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief	

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/679,806	LIU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Olga Asinovsky	1711	

	Olga Asiriovsky	1 17 11				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		E FIKST KEPLT WAS F	-ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	136(a) and the appropria	ate extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nollance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered b	necause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further o			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be		,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	, ,,					
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) Mean will not be entered, or b) with will will will will will will will wil	ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,14,15,23-34 and 42</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12, 14, 15,23-34 and 42.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16-22,35-41 and 16-22,35-41 and 16-22,</u>	1 43-49		•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u></u>					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered been considered been considered been considered because the consider	out does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)				
13. Souther: See Continuation Sheet.						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: changing the dependency of claim 42 from claim 1 to claim 35 would require further consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: specifically claimed aromatic ethylenically unsaturated monomer reactive with polymerizable vinyl ester is independent or distinct invention from the invention originally claimed. In the present specification [0077] to [0079] the inventor discloses large number of known reactive diluents wherein the polymerizable vinyl ester is soluble in said reactive diluent. Selection of an aromatic ethylenically unsaturated monomer in the independent claim 35 would require additional search and consideration. Applicants' arguments have been considered. The examiner agreed that Rancich does not disclose a multifunctional acrylate. However, the additional reactive multifunctional acrylate would be expected to increase adhesive/crosslinking performance as suggested by the secondary references. EP'546 discloses a multifunctional acrylate component in the amount of 1 to 30 wt.%. the claimed limitation from about 5 wt.% to 10 wt.% of reactive multifunctional acrylate is overlapping in the range suggested in EP'546. The claimed adhesive value would be readable in EP'546. The rejections of record have not been withdrawn.

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700